can be changed dynamically based on events appearing in the system. Our run-time system allows this, and we have used this technique in some signal processing applications[13].

10. Conclusions

In this paper we presented a model-integrated solution for monitoring and analyzing production at a discrete manufacturing plant. MIC technology provides a conceptually strong solution framework for the SSPF application. Diverse services are required for the application such as PM&C, process simulation, statistical analysis packages, and other data manipulation tools. Integration of these tools into a common problem-solving environment is readily achieved through the use of model integrated computing. Model-Integrated Computing shows the following advantages in the software and system development process: (1) It establishes a software engineering process that promotes design for change. (2) The process shifts the engineering focus from implementing point solutions to capturing and representing the relationship between problems and solutions. (3) It supports the applications with model-integrated program synthesis environments which offer a good deal of end-user programmability.
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store shift information

- **Client GUI**: This component presents the current and historical data to users, provides navigation through various sections of the plant, etc.
- **Client Data Handler**: This component is responsible for interfacing to RTDS and HTDS and getting and maintaining current and historical data.
- **Shift Manager**: This is a utility for updating and maintaining the shift information in the SSPFShift database.

### 7.2. Model Interpretation and SSPF Component Configuration

The Application Generator (AG) “transforms” the SSPF models into the run-time system. This is accomplished in the following manner:

1. Configurable run-time libraries and programs were developed, which get their configuration information from configuration files produced by the AG.
2. Schemas for storing production data were defined. At this time, this is a manual process. In the future, these schemas will also be generated from the models.
3. AG traverses the model database, extracting the relevant information and produces a number of configuration files and SQL script files.
4. The configuration files are read by the SSPF components to build internal data structures, thus reflecting exactly what is in the models.
5. The SQL scripts are executed by the SQL/Server. The SQL scripts fill in the rows for the tables with essential information about the processes, buffers, etc., in the plant, thus keeping the site-wide database consistent.

If the models are changed to reflect changes in the plant, only the last three steps need to be performed. If a change in the functionality of SSPF is desired, the first two (and possibly the last three steps also) need to be performed.

### 8. Experiences

The SSPF project was started in September of 1995, with an Engineering Study and preliminary design. By the end of the year, a prototype was developed. About one-third of the plant was modeled. This was the section where a considerable amount of data was available.

In 1996, the prototype was moved towards a production release. This involved a Critical Design Review and considerable changes in all the SSPF components. Some of the changes in these were necessitated by the integration process, but most were just due to added functionalities. A large part of the effort since April 1996 was spent on building the complete models of Saturn. SSPF was put into production release in the first week of August 1996. To date, about 160 processes and about 600 buffers have been modeled.

We learned many lessons during our efforts to integrate SSPF with Saturn plant. A large part of the effort was required for modeling of the plant. This is not surprising since the application itself is generated from the models. Using the MIC approach helped us considerably in verifying and testing the application. Whenever the models were added to or changed in any way, the application was regenerated and tested. The turn-around time was just the time required to change the models and to regenerate the configuration files. It showed clearly how flexible and maintainable the application becomes through the use of models. Many times during the integration phase, requirements and/or enhancements in the functionality of SSPF were added. We had a very quick turn-around time on these since all it required was a change in one configurable component followed by re-generation of the application, and the change would appear for all the processes/buffers of the plant. In addition, since the data acquisition systems in different sections of the plant were implemented by different people, we had to deal with the “idiosyncrasies” of these implementations. Being able to capture this information in models also helped considerably.

### 9. Other Approaches

The benefits of software modeling and generating software from models (to facilitate rapid development) has been known for some time[3]. The importance of domain modeling in the software development process has been recently recognized [6, 5].

Many of the concepts developed in [6] are present in our toolset, MGA. Just like in [5], the domain-specific models play an essential role. What makes our approach different, however, is the explicit model interpreter component, that decouples the execution of the system from the models. In a sense, our model interpreters are similar to component generators, as described in [12]; they instantiate and configure generic components and templates. The differences are: (1) this process may be executed at run-time, and (2) in our system we explicitly allow re-interpretation. In the latter case, there can be a feedback from the executing system to the model interpreter, and thus components
... models
  Process compound {
    StructuralAspect "Structure" {
      icon rect { left : InConveyors; 
                     right : OutConveyors; }
      attrs { attr PartNames; attr LineSpeed;
              attr JPHLow; attr JPHLowLow; }
      conns { JobFlow { 1 solid line arrow }:
               InConveyors -> Buffers
               Buffers -> SubProcs InConveyors
               SubProcs OutConveyors -> Buffers; }
     parts { SubProcs : Process hierarchy;
              Buffers : Buffer;
              InConveyors : In_Conveyor link;
              OutConveyors : Out_Conveyor link; }
    }
  }
...

Figure 4: Fragment of the SSPF paradigm definition

6. Model Editor Environment

The model editor was generated by customizing the MGA VPE for SSPF. VPE is customized through a paradigm specification file, that contains a declarative description of the modeling paradigm. This file is used to generate the model database schema and the specific database interface code for the model builder [8]. A fragment of the SSPF editor configuration file is reproduced below (Fig 4); it shows the definition of the structural aspect of the processing models.

7. SSPF Architecture

There are three main parts to the SSPF system as shown in Figure 5: (1) Model-Integrated Programs Synthesis (MIPS) Environment which consists of the Visual Programming Environment (VPE), Model Database and the Application Generator (AG); (2) SSPF Server which consists of the Real-Time Data Server (RTDS), Historical Data Server (HTDS), Cimplicity Interface, a Cimplicity project (SSPPFB), ODBC Interface and one or more MS/SQL Server and MS/SQL Database; (3) SSPF Client which consists of the Client Data Handler and the Client GUI.

The SSPF Server and SSPF Client together are called the SSPF Application. The SSPF Server components run on a DEC Alpha/Windows NT Server. The SSPF Clients run on a number of Intel workstations distributed throughout the Saturn Site. The various components and their functionalities are:

- Visual Programming Environment (VPE): The VPE is used to build graphical models of the Saturn plant.
- Model Database: This is an object-oriented database which is used to store the models.
- Application Generator: This is the model interpreter which configures the various run-time components of SSPF. The dotted lines from Application Generator to the various components in Figure 5 indicate this fact.
- Real-Time Data Server (RTDS): The RTDS receives real-time point data, processes the data, multicasts the data to clients, logs the raw computed real-time data and shift and day summaries, reads shift information for the processes from the shift tables, provides clients with startup and synchronization information, etc.
- Historical Data Server (HTDS): HTDS services client requests for historical data.
- Cimplicity Interface: This component gets point data from the SSPFPB point bridge project and forwards the data to RTDS.
- Cimplicity project SSPFPB: This is a point bridge Cimplicity project that gets the plant data from various PLCs at Saturn Site.
- ODBC Interface: The ODBC interface is used by RTDS to read shift information and to store detailed and summary production data. HTDS uses the ODBC interface to retrieve historical production data at client request.
- MS/SQL Server and Databases: There are two databases used by SSPF: (1) SSPFData, which is used to store current and historical data for one week period, and (2) SSPFShift, which is used to
tributed throughout the plant, the data provided by PLCs is frequently incorrect. Many times the connection to PLCs also is lost. SSPF is required to be able to handle such situations.

4.2. Integration

The issues faced during integration of SSPF with Saturn systems are:

- **Data collection:** The data collection methods used in different sections of the plant were implemented by different people. As a result, there was considerable heterogeneity in the data points with respect to the engineering units used, reset conditions, etc. This presented significant challenges for SSPF since its purpose is to provide a homogeneous view of the plant to the user.
- **Zero disruption:** The SSPF integration process was not allowed to disrupt the plant operation and other software packages in any way.
- **Pre-existing hardware and software platforms:** SSPF was had to be designed to run on the hardware/operating systems already in use at Saturn and to interface with existing software packages (Cimplicity, MS SQL/Server, etc.).

4.3. Maintenance

The Saturn plant undergoes yearly model changes in the car. This results in changes in the plant itself (the extent and degree of the change varies). In addition, some sections of the plant may change even more frequently due to change in the process, additions of more machines, etc. SSPF needs to be able to incorporate these changes with minimal effort and no disruption of the plant or of SSPF.

The change in the plant need not always be in the form of a change of process(es), change of machines, etc. Data collection itself usually changes (more data points may be collected, some may be discarded, etc). This results in changes in the available data, their engineering units, etc. Once again, SSPF needs to handle these changes as seamlessly as possible.

4.4. Evolution

In its initial version, SSPF is a data collection, logging, retrieval and display service. In the future, however, SSPF will have many more components added to it that will provide simulation, bottleneck analysis, diagnosis, decision making support, etc. These components will face the same issues outlined above. Thus, SSPF had to be designed for easy extensibility.

5. SSPF Modeling Paradigm

The SSPF application offers a structured view of the data representing the state of the manufacturing processes. This structured view and the related visualization services create a tight conceptual relationship between the plant and the SSPF software. In this section, we summarize the key modeling concepts that are used for defining the SSPF application and that are also provided for the users of the system.

5.1. Background

The manufacturing plant is viewed as an aggregate of processes and buffers. Processes represent the operations required for making a car, such as casting, machining, welding, assembly, etc. Associated with each process are certain measurements that relate to the productivity of the process. Examples of such measurements are: cycle-time, production count (how many parts were machined, assembled, etc.), Work In Process (WIP) (how many parts are currently being worked on), production downtime (equipment breakdown, etc.).

Buffers (or banks) lie between processes and hold parts that are produced by an upstream process before they are consumed by a downstream process. The information about banks that is relevant to production is: bank count (number of parts/sub-assemblies in the buffer) and the minimum and maximum capacities of the buffer.

The inter-connectivity of processes and buffers captures the sequence of operations required to produce a car. The concept of production flow is concerned with the flow of material (raw materials, parts, sub-assemblies, etc.) through the processes and buffers, and encompasses all the production related entities of processes and buffers (e.g. production count, WIP, bank count, starving, blocking).

To model Saturn Site in terms of its production processes and business organizations, the SSPF modeling paradigm was developed. The modeling paradigm utilizes four kinds of models: (1) Production Models, (2) Organization Models, (3) Activity Models and (4) Resource Models.

Production models are used to represent the production flow at Saturn Site. The Organization models are used to represent the business units at Saturn and to establish relationships between business units and production units. Activity models are used to configure the SSPF activity(ies) while resource models describe the allocation of SSPF activity(ies) to workstations.
thereby providing the framework for easy access and maintenance of the production database.

3.3. Graphical User Interface

The primary purpose of SSPF is to provide the users with current (real-time) and historical production data, which can be used for various purposes – monitoring, analysis, etc. For presenting the data SSPF includes a Graphical User Interface (GUI), which is configured from the plant models. The GUI has many features (an example is shown in Figure 3), some of which are:

- navigation through the plant allowing the user to examine the production flow through any section of the plant,
- detailed and aggregate views of the plant,
- alternate views,
- drill-down capabilities,
- textual reports

3.4. Bottleneck Analysis

A key concept that is central to production flow is that of a bottleneck. A bottleneck is that process that is limiting the overall production flow. The overall concept of production flow is built on focusing attention on bottlenecks and potential bottlenecks. Bottlenecks may at first appear to be obvious, which is true if the process is simple enough and can be completely observed from a single point. In the case of a large discrete manufacturing plant such as Saturn, this is not possible. SSPF is intended to provide a total virtual view of the production flow across the plant and thus, aid in clear identification of bottlenecks.

3.5. Problem Solving Activities

Simulation, predictive techniques, and decision support will be provided in a common framework using the same models that are used for real-time monitoring, data storage and retrieval. Using traditional techniques for analysis is facilitated through application of MIC. Tools will be readily integrated into the problem space, seamlessly mixed as appropriate.

4. Design Challenges and Issues

The design issues and challenges faced by SSPF are common to most large-scale software systems. They can be categorized in the following manner: (1) Design and development, (2) Integration, (3) Maintenance, and (4) Evolution.

4.1. Design and Development

The issues faced in design and development of SSPF are:

- **Tight integration with the plant**: Since SSPF is “embedded” into the Saturn plant, the representation of the plant as used by the SSPF software component needs to match exactly the actual physical processes, buffers, machines, etc.
- **Real-time performance**: There are a large number of data points that are measured from the plant and provided to SSPF. The points are asynchronous and necessitate an event-driven architecture for SSPF. Every measurement that arrives needs to be processed, logged and supplied to clients for display.
- **Distributed system**: SSPF has a distributed client/server architecture. It supports a multitude of users (about 300) with low load on network, server, or clients. The number of users is high because any person in the workforce at Saturn would be expected to be an active user.
- **Production database**: The raw plant data and processed data need to be logged to and retrieved from a relational database, in a structured and flexible manner.
- **Heterogeneous platforms**: The components of SSPF run on different hardware and software platforms.
- **Sophisticated GUI**: The SSPF GUI needs to show real-time and historical data and results of analyses, etc., using the same interface, provide easy navigational facilities, etc.
- **Robustness**: Robustness against corrupted data is a very important criteria. Since the PLCs are dis-
to understand an entire class of problems related to
the domain. As opposed to developing a specific
application, we try to develop first the domain-specific
tools to build that application, then use them (or have
them used by the end-users) to generate the applica-
tion. Many of these ideas can already be found in other
large-scale packages [5]. What is different here is that,
in addition to making the models themselves available
for the end-users, we want to make explicit use of the
models in generating applications.

It seems that MIC necessitates a bigger effort than
straightforward application development. This is true
if there is no reuse and every project has to start from
scratch. In recent years we have developed a toolset
called the Multigraph Architecture (MGA) [14] that
provides a highly reusable set of generic tools to do MIC.
We claim that the tools provide a meta-architecture
because instead of enforcing one particular architectural style for development, they can be customized
to create systems of widely different styles. Figure 2
shows the components found in a typical MGA applica-
tion. The shadowed boxes indicate components
that are generic and are customized for a particular
domain (for details about MIC and its components,
referto [8, 14]).

The flexibility with which MGA can be adopted to
various application domains has enabled us to use it in
widely different applications (e.g., [1, 2, 7, 9, 10, 11]).
In the following we describe the SSPF systems, which
was developed using MIC. We describe the functional-
ities of SSPF, the design challenges, the MIC solution
and are experiences with the system.

### 3. SSPF Functionalities

SSPF is designed to be an application that evolves
easily. The functionalities described below represent
the capabilities of the system that have already been
identified and have been implemented or are currently
under development. In the future, the requirements
and functionalities of the system are expected to grow
considerably.

#### 3.1. Data Acquisition

SSPF functions involve real-time collection, presen-
tation, storage, retrieval, and analysis of data. There is
a data rich environment at Saturn based on traditional
process monitoring and control (PM&C). The data
being measured consists of production count, down-
times, bank counts, and other production related
information. The data points are provided by the Pro-
grammable Logic Controllers (PLCs) of machines and
are collected and presented to users on status screens
that are configured using a data acquisition and dis-
play package called Cimplicity[15]. However, in the
absence of any plant models to guide the data collec-
tion, logging and presentation, the enormous volume
of data presents considerable difficulties in using the
traditional PM&C for monitoring site-wide status and
for performing simulations and other decision making
analyses.

SSPF uses Cimplicity’s data acquisition as its inter-
face to the PLCs. This interface is configured from the
models, thereby affording considerable ease in main-
teinance and upgrade of the plant data interface as the
plant itself changes.

#### 3.2. Data Storage and Retrieval

Time is an essential dimension in understanding the
dynamics of production flow. There are some dynam-
is that are within the bounds of a given shift. Others
involve multiple shifts, weeks, or months of history.
To understand the dynamics of production flow, stor-
age of detailed and summarized data in a structured
format is required. The current databases at Saturn
are difficult to use due to the lack of structure or a
framework for the data.

SSPF stores the raw data (received from the plant
through Cimplicity) and processed information in a
structured manner using the a relational database (Mi-
crosoft SQL/Server). SSPF also includes tools to re-
trieve stored data for use in analysis and decision sup-
port tools. The database schemas and the interfaces
to the database are configured from the plant models,
of material through the production facility. SSPF is intended to provide an integrated problem-solving environment which presents consistent and pertinent information, and analysis and decision support services that are needed for informed decision making by the team members and leaders within Saturn.

First we give a description of the underlying MIC approach on an abstract level. Next we present a description of the SSPF system. We present the requirements for SSPF, the models, and the generated system. We discuss our experience with the process and give an objective evaluation of the work. Finally, we relate to other development approaches.

2. Model-Integrated Computing

Recognizing the need for software systems that evolve and are maintained in accordance with their environment, we propose the extensive use of models in the development process. The use of models in software development is not a new idea. Various analysis and design techniques (especially the object-oriented ones) very frequently build models of the system before realization, and model its environment as well. However, we propose to extend and specialize the modeling process so the models can be more tightly integrated into the system development cycle than in traditional techniques. The process supporting this activity can be called a Model-Integrated Computing (MIC), and it results in a model-integrated system (MIS).

In an MIC process the models describe the system’s environment, represent the system’s architecture and they are used in generating and configuring the system. These models are indeed integrated with the system, in the sense that they are active participants in the development process, as opposed to being mere passive documents.

When MIC is used in developing a system, models are involved in all stages of the life-cycle. To support this, the initial step is the building of tools that support model creation and editing. The model editing tools are typically graphical, but more importantly, they support modeling in terms of the actual application domain. This domain-specific modeling is essential for making end-user programmability feasible. The result of the model editing is a set of domain-specific models, that are typically kept in a database.

In order to use the models effectively, one needs (at least) two more components beyond model editors: (1) tools for transforming abstract models into an executable system, and (2) run-time support libraries for the executable system. The transformation is done by a component called the model interpreter which maps the domain-specific models into run-time components. Model interpreters can be implemented using various strategies, depending on what the run-time system looks like. For instance, if the run-time system includes a relational database, model interpreters can generate the SQL definitions for the schema; if it is a multi-tasking kernel, model interpreters generate the code skeletons performing synchronization.

On the process level, in MIC we have two interrelated processes: (1) the process that involves the development of the model-integrated system, and (2) the process that is performed by the end-user of the system (in order to maintain, upgrade, reconfigure) the application in accordance with the changes in its environment. Figure 1 shows the processes schematically. The first process is performed entirely by the system’s developers (i.e., software engineers), the second one is done initially by them, but later by the end-users.

To summarize, in MIC the system is created through the development of the following: (1) a modeling paradigm, (2) the model builder (editor) environment, (3) the model interpreters and (4) the runtime support system. The product of this process is a set of tools: the model builder, model interpreter and generic run-time support system. Using these, first the developers, but eventually the end-users can build up the application itself by going through the following steps: (1) develop models, (2) interpret the models and generate the system (this step is automatic), and (3) execute the system. The key aspect of the development process is that domain-specific models are used in building the application, and thus it can be re-generated by the end-users.

The MIC approach can be contrasted with current development practices as follows. As opposed to developing a highly specialized product, in MIC we want...
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Abstract
The use of Information Systems (IS) has been increasingly playing a critical role towards enhancing productivity and throughput in manufacturing enterprises. The primary drivers are efficiency and quality increase through automation, facilitation of better business processes and improved decision making. Many problems and issues relating to the design, development, integration, evolution and maintenance of ISs in large-scale and complex plants have become apparent which are not adequately addressed by the traditional Process Monitoring & Control (PM&C) systems. Model Integrated Computing (MIC) offers a feasible approach towards providing cost-effective development, integration, evolution and maintenance of ISs through the extensive use of plant models. This paper describes an application of MIC in providing a problem-solving environment and decision support tool in the context of discrete manufacturing operations at Saturn. The Saturn Site Production Flow (SSPF) system is a client-server application, designed to provide consistent and pertinent information, analysis and decision support services that are needed for informed decision making.

1. Introduction
To remain competitive, manufacturing enterprises need to increase throughput while keeping the costs down at the same time. This requires an engineering process involving day-to-day and long term examination and analysis of the functioning of the plant and operations, identification of bottlenecks in the production, analysis of capacity, etc., and identification of opportunities for improvements. In large-scale manufacturing plants, Information Systems (IS) play a critical role in this engineering process. However, traditional IS, including Process Monitoring & Control (PM&C) systems have not been able to address the needs of such an engineering process due to many problems and issues relating to software design, development, integration, evolution and maintenance. The problems arise out of the scale and complexity of plants, the diversity of IS applications employed, and the tight interdependence between the two. Model Integrated Computing (MIC) offers a feasible approach towards providing cost-effective development, integration, evolution and maintenance of IS through the use of design-time models of the system to provide a common framework for different applications.

In this paper, we describe the application of MIC towards providing a problem-solving environment and decision support tools in the context of discrete manufacturing operations at Saturn Corp. The Saturn Site Production Flow (SSPF) system is a client/server application designed to meet an initiative within Saturn Manufacturing to increase the number of cars built utilizing existing facilities and processes. The primary focus of tools and services provided by SSPF is the flow